



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,579	04/14/2004	Dan Anthony Balogh	29250-002026/US	6233

7590 03/13/2009  
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 8910  
Reston, VA 20195

EXAMINER
----------

CHO, HONG SOL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2419

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/13/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/823,579	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BALOGH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Hong Cho	<b>Art Unit</b> 2419	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hong Cho. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Jesus Hernandez (attorney). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ahmavaara (US 7359347).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hernandez discussed that Ahmavaara does not disclose receiving a request from a mobile station and there is no interaction between a first network controller and the second network controller. The examiner will consider the discussion for future communication.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hong Cho/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2419	
---	--